Case 5	:24-cv-01934-FWS-PVC	Document 14 #:1352	Filed 02/07/25	Page 1 of 1	Page ID
				JS-6	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5	ID				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
7					
8	MICHELLE ZALDIVA	R,) Case No: 5:24	4-cv-01934-F	WS-PVC
9	Plaintiff,) [PDQDQCE1	.	
10) JUDGMENT	[
11	VS.)		
12	MICHELLE KING,)		
13	Acting Commissioner of Security,	f Social)		
14	Security,)		
15 16	Defendant.				
17	The Count handry approved the mention' Stimulation to Walnutson, Danier 1				
18	The Court hereby approves the parties' Stipulation to Voluntary Remand				
19	Pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and to Entry of Judgment				
20	("Stipulation to Remand") lodged with this Judgment of Remand, IT IS				
21	HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above-captioned				
22	action is remanded to the Commissioner of Social Security for further proceedings				
23	consistent with the Stipulation to Remand. ¹				
24	DATED: February 7, 202	25	PM WIX		
25		HON. Î	PEDRO V. CAST		
26		UNITE	D STATES MA	GISTRATE J	UDGE
27	¹ In <i>Bastidas v. Chappell</i> , 79	– 91 F.3d 1155 (9th (Cir. 2015), the Nint	th Circuit held th	nat the
28	magistrate judge had the authority to grant the petitioner's request to dismiss two unexhausted claims in his habeas petition without the approval of a district judge, as the magistrate judge's order was simply "doing what [the] habeas petitioner has asked." <i>Id.</i> at 1165. While <i>Bastidas</i> is not entirely on point, the stipulation for remand and entry of judgment here is jointly made by the parties, without any compulsion from the magistrate judge. Because there appears to be no danger of undue prejudice to any party, the Court grants the request.				